



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,292	01/03/2002	Thomas Edward Cezeaux	338528008US1	8337
28524 SIEMENS CO	7590 06/27/2007 R POR A TION	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPART	HOYE, MICHAEL W		
ISELIN, NJ 08	VENUE SOUTH 830	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

ݮ.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/039,292	CEZEAUX ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael W. Hoye	2623		

	Michael VV. Hoye	2023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) to with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amore shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a br	ief, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see I		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 39-58.		will be entered and an	expianation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affi	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> davit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		a 3/i	9
	P	ANDREW Y. KOEN RIMARY PATENT EX	· · · <del>-</del> ·

Application No. 10/039,292

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to independent claims 39, 46 and 51 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 13. Other: The IDS form 1449 submitted on 8 April 2002 was previously considered by the Examiner on 22 March 2007 and was provided with the previous Office Action mailed on April 4, 2007. The IDS form 1449 submitted on 7 October 2002 was previously considered by the former Examiner of record on 28 September 2005 and was provided with the Office Action mailed on 5 October 2005. Both of the 1449 forms referred to above have been considered and are already a part of the record. The Applicants should have already received copies of the 1449's in previous mailings as mentioned above, however, in view of the Applicants request, the Examiner has provided courtesy copies of the previously mailed 1449's with this Office Action.